UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,896	04/21/2005	Yasufumi Asao	03500.017697.	5799
5514 7590 06/23/2009 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			JOSEPH, DENNIS P	
NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/531,896	ASAO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DENNIS P. JOSEPH	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	1			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-10 and 21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-10 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	or election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/531,896 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to amendments for No. 10/531,896 filed on May 22, 2009. Claims 1, 4-10 and 21 are pending and have been examined.

Continued Examination

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 22, 2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,896 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 1-10 and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Struyk (US 2003/0128218 A1).

Struyk teaches in Claim 1:

A color display element comprising a unit pixel which is comprised of a plurality of sub-pixels comprising a first sub-pixel and a second sub-pixel ([0042]-[0044] disclose sub-pixel structures which are composed of different colors and the color variances within each pixel), the second sub-pixel having a green color filter ([0042], [0084] and [0085] disclose green color filters) and a medium which has an optical property modulated in accordance with a voltage applied to each of the sub-pixels and is located in each of the sub-pixels (Figures 3A, 3B and 4 and [0063]-[0065] disclose the voltage applied to each of the colors within the pixel. The application of voltages to the sub-pixels is respectfully, obvious),

wherein, the color display element has a means of applying to the first sub-pixel a voltage which modulates an optical property of the medium located in the first sub-pixel in a range within which a brightness of light passing through the medium is variable (As discussed above, various voltage levels are applied to each of the sub-pixels and by doing so, the optical property, i.e. color intensity, is modulated or changed. This is well known in the art and as a result, the brightness is altered. [0118] discloses green/magenta filter pairs (read magenta color filter as the first sub-pixel) and these colors are complementary)

a means of applying to the second sub-pixel a voltage which modulates an optical property of the medium located in the second sub-pixel in a range within which a brightness of

Art Unit: 2629

light passing through the medium is variable (Figures 3A, 3B and 4 and [0063]-[0065] disclose the voltage applied to each of the colors within the pixel. The application of voltages to the sub-pixels is respectfully, obvious. The language "variable" is broad and varying amount of voltage applied would change the color intensity); but

Struyk does not explicitly teach "and in a range within which a chromatic color assumed by light passing through the medium changes within red and blue."

However, chromaticity relationships between RGB colors is well known in the art and the relationship is shown by chromaticity diagrams. The color combinations are altered as one of the color changes is being modulated and the chromaticity obviously changes. Examiner asserts Official Notice to chromaticity diagrams which show combinations of RGB, CYM (complements which follow the same principles), etc.

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the variations of color combinations that are expressed by chromaticity diagrams would obviously be used with Struyk's display means with the motivation of KSR principles that is a well known technique in the art.

Struyk teaches in Claim 4:

The color display element according to claim 1, wherein a voltage making the light passing through the medium assume magenta intermediate between red and blue is applied to the

Page 5

As for the passing between red and blue, this is obvious and discussed above), and a voltage making the light passing through the medium has a maximum brightness in the range within which a brightness of the light is variable is applied to the second sub-pixel, whereby the unit pixel displays white color. (The language "variable" is broad and varying amount of voltage applied would change the color intensity. [0025] discloses complementary colors

mixed with each other will result in a shade of white and this is also obvious given the

concept of complementary colors)

Struyk teaches in Claim 5:

The color display element according to claim 1, wherein the first sub-pixel has a color filter of a color complementary to a color of the color filter of the second sub-pixel. ([0118] discloses green/magenta filter pairs (read magenta color filter as the first sub-pixel and green as the second sub-pixel) and these colors are complementary)

Struyk teaches in Claim 6:

The color display element according to claim 1, wherein the color filter of the first sub-pixel assumes magenta ([0118] discloses green/magenta filter pairs (read magenta color filter as the first sub-pixel and green as the second sub-pixel) and these colors are complementary)

Struyk teaches in Claim 7:

The color display element according to claim 5, wherein a voltage in the range within which the color changes is applied to the first sub-pixel, to display a color as a result of overlapping the chromatic color and a color of the complementary color filter with each other. (The obviousness statement made in Claim 1 and the reasoning there is applicable here as well. The language "to display a color" is broad and by applying voltages to the various sub-pixels, a color can be displayed. It is well known that complementary colors overlap each other, [0102])

Struyk teaches in Claim 8:

The color display element according to claim 5, wherein a voltage making the lights passing through the mediums have a maximum brightness in the range within which a brightness of the light is variable is applied to the first and second sub-pixels, whereby the unit pixel displays white color. ([0051], [0061] discloses the maximum intensity value of any individual color component and there is inherently a maximum gray scale that can be reached)

Struyk teaches in Claim 9:

The color display element according to claim 5, wherein modulations of a same gray level in the range within which a brightness of the light is variable are applied to the first and second sub-pixels respectively, whereby an achromatic color of half tone is displayed in the unit pixel. ([0025], [0043], etc disclose achromatic colors such as white and black which can be displayed)

Struyk teaches in Claim 10:

The color display element according to claim 2, wherein the second sub-pixel is comprised of two or more of sub-pixels, at least one of which sub-pixels has a red color filter or a blue color filter. ([0042]-[0044] disclose sub-pixel structures which are composed of different colors and the color variances within each pixel. Examiner asserts Official Notice to various types of sub-pixel structures like this. As for these sub-pixels containing red and blue color filters, this is a design choice and is taught by Struyk in [0042]-[0044])

Struyk teaches in Claim 21:

A method for driving a color display element which contains a medium an optical property of which changes in accordance with an applied voltage, the element being comprised of a unit pixel comprised of a plurality of sub-pixels comprising a first sub-pixel and a second sub-pixel ([0042]-[0044] disclose sub-pixel structures which are composed of different colors and the color variances within each pixel), the second sub-pixel having a green color filter ([0042], [0084] and [0085] disclose green color filters), which comprises the steps of:

applying to the first sub-pixel a voltage modulating an optical property of the medium in a range within which a brightness of light passing through the medium is variable (As discussed above, various voltage levels are applied to each of the sub-pixels and by doing so, the optical property, i.e. color intensity, is modulated or changed. This is well known in the art and as a result, the brightness is altered. [0118] discloses green/magenta filter pairs (read magenta color filter as the first sub-pixel) and these colors are complementary) and

Application/Control Number: 10/531,896

Art Unit: 2629

applying to the second sub-pixel a voltage modulating an optical property of the medium

Page 8

in a range within a brightness of light passing through the medium is variable (Figures 3A, 3B

and 4 and [0063]-[0065] disclose the voltage applied to each of the colors within the pixel.

The application of voltages to the sub-pixels is respectfully, obvious. The language

"variable" is broad and varying amount of voltage applied would change the color

intensity); but

Struyk does not explicitly teach "in a range within which a chromatic color assumed by light

passing through the medium changes within red and blue."

However, chromaticity relationships between RGB colors is well known in the art and the

relationship is shown by chromaticity diagrams. The color combinations are altered as one of the

color changes is being modulated and the chromaticity obviously changes. Examiner asserts

Official Notice to chromaticity diagrams which show combinations of RGB, CYM

(complements which follow the same principles), etc.

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that

the variations of color combinations that are expressed by chromaticity diagrams would

obviously be used with Struyk's display means with the motivation of KSR principles that is a

well known technique in the art.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2629

6. Applicant's arguments considered, but are respectfully moot in grounds of new rejection(s).

In light of Applicant's arguments in the latest remarks, the rejection has been altered. As a result, examiner will await Applicant's response to this Office Action since those remarks are now moot.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DENNIS P. JOSEPH whose telephone number is (571)270-1459. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on 571-272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,896 Page 10

Art Unit: 2629

DJ

/Amr Awad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629